



# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## PCT

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference <b>445/04393</b>	<b>FOR FURTHER ACTION</b>		See Form PCT/PEA/416
International application No. <b>PCT/IL2005/000303</b>	International filing date ( <i>day/month/year</i> ) <b>17.03.2005</b>	Priority date ( <i>day/month/year</i> ) <b>18.03.2004</b>	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC <b>INV. A61F2/00</b>			
Applicant <b>CONTIPI LTD. et al.</b>			
<p>1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</p> <p>2. This REPORT consists of a total of 8 sheets, including this cover sheet.</p> <p>3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau</i> a total of 5 sheets, as follows:</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;"><input type="checkbox"/> sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">b. <input type="checkbox"/> (<i>sent to the International Bureau only</i>) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in electronic form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).</p>			
<p>4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. I      Basis of the report</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Box No. II      Priority</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. III      Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. IV      Lack of unity of invention</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. V      Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VI      Certain documents cited</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VII      Certain defects in the international application</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. VIII      Certain observations on the international application</p>			
Date of submission of the demand  <b>26.01.2006</b>		Date of completion of this report  <b>24.07.2006</b>	
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:   <b>European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016</b>		Authorized officer  <b>Mary, C</b>  Telephone No. +31 70 340-4409  	

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT  
ON PATENTABILITY**

International application No.  
PCT/IL2005/000303

---

**Box No. I Basis of the report**

---

1. With regard to the **language**, this report is based on
- ☒ the international application in the language in which it was filed
  - ☐ a translation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:
    - ☐ international search (under Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b))
    - ☐ publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4(a))
    - ☐ international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))
2. With regard to the **elements\*** of the international application, this report is based on *(replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):*

**Description, Pages**

1-18 as originally filed

**Claims, Numbers**

1-38 filed with telefax on 10.07.2006

**Drawings, Sheets**

1/20-20/20 as originally filed

- ☐ a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing
3. ☐ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
- ☐ the description, pages
  - ☐ the claims, Nos.
  - ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs
  - ☐ the sequence listing (*specify*):
  - ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (*specify*):
4. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
- ☐ the description, pages
  - ☐ the claims, Nos.
  - ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs
  - ☐ the sequence listing (*specify*):
  - ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (*specify*):

\* If item 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT  
ON PATENTABILITY**

International application No.  
PCT/IL2005/000303

**Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability**

1. The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:

☐ the entire international application,

☒ claims Nos. 12-38

because:

☐ the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (*specify*):

☐ the description, claims or drawings (*indicate particular elements below*) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (*specify*):

☐ the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (*specify*).

☒ no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. 12-38

☐ a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:

☐ furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.

☐ furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.

☐ pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13*ter*.1(a) or (b) and 13*ter*.2.

☐ a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-*bis* of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.

☐ the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-*bis* of the Administrative Instructions.

☐ See separate sheet for further details

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT  
ON PATENTABILITY**

International application No.  
PCT/IL2005/000303

---

**Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention**

---

1. ☒ In response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees, the applicant has, within the applicable time limit:
- ☐ restricted the claims.
  - ☐ paid additional fees.
  - ☐ paid additional fees under protest and, where applicable, the protest fee.
  - ☐ paid additional fees under protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid.
  - ☒ neither restricted the claims nor paid additional fees.
2. ☐ This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.
3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is:
- ☒ complied with.
  - ☐ not complied with for the following reasons:
4. Consequently, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
- ☐ all parts.
  - ☒ the parts relating to claims Nos. 1-11 .

---

**Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

---

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims	1-11
	No: Claims	
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims	1-11
	No: Claims	
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims	1-11
	No: Claims	

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

**see separate sheet**

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT  
ON PATENTABILITY**

International application No.  
PCT/IL2005/000303

---

**Box No. VIII    Certain observations on the international application**

---

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

**see separate sheet**

**Re Item III.**

Rule 39.1(iv) and Rule 67.1 (iv) PCT - Method for treatment of the human or animal body by therapy: Claims 33-38 disclose a method of treating pelvic organ prolapse which is a method of treatment by therapy.

**Re Item IV.**

The separate inventions are:

- Claims 1-11: an apparatus for treating pelvic organ prolapse comprising a main body and an applicator
- Claims 12-22: an apparatus for treating pelvic organ prolapse comprising a main body and an anchoring body
- Claims 23-32: an apparatus for treating pelvic organ prolapse comprising a thin body which is deformable at least three points thereon.

They are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (Rule 13.1 PCT) for the following reasons: the document EP0933069 cited in our search report discloses an apparatus for treating pelvic organ prolapse comprising a main body and an applicator. Beyond this prior art, the special technical features (in the meaning of Rule 13.2 of the P.C.T) left in the independant claims of the application are:

- In independant claim 1: none.
- In independant claim 12: an apparatus for treating pelvic organ prolapse comprising a main body and an anchoring body.
- In independant claim 23: an apparatus for treating pelvic organ prolapse comprising a thin body which is deformable at least three points thereon.

No same or correspondent special technical feature can be found between claim 1 and claims 12 and 23. There is therefore no technical relationship involving same or corresponding special technical features between claim 1 and claims 12 and 23. In conclusion, the groups of claims are not linked by common or corresponding special technical features and define 3 different inventions not linked by a single general inventive concept. The application, hence does not meet the requirements of unity of invention as defined in Rules 13.1 and 13.2 PCT.

**Re Item V.**

- 1 Reference is made to the following documents:  
D5: EP0274762 (Fortune Capital Management) 20 July 1988 (1988-07-20)  
The documents D5 was not cited in the international search report. A copy of the documents is appended hereto.
- 2 The document D5 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1 and discloses an apparatus for treating pelvic organ prolapse, comprising a ring shaped main body sized and shaped to apply appropriate pressure on lateral vaginal walls for treating pelvic organ prolapse, the ring shaped main body being flexible.
- 3 The subject-matter of claim 1 thus differs from this disclosure in that the device is foldable at least three different points or along at least two axes, such that insertion of the main body does not have to be precise and that the ring shaped main body naturally settles into the appropriate rotational position for prolapse treatment taking a pre-defined multi-planar shape; and that the device also comprises an applicator enclosing the ring shaped main body for inserting said ring shaped main body into a vagina.

Therefore the subject-matter of claim 1 is new and meets the requirements of Art.33(2)PCT.

- 4 These features serve to insert easily the ring-shaped main body, without having to be precise in respect to the rotational angle of the applicator (and the ring-shaped main body) to the vaginal opening. None of the available prior art documents suggests the combination of said features. In particular, the device described in D5 is hand-deformable, but would, if deformed and inserted into an applicator, stay after insertion in its collapsed state.

Therefore claim 1 involves an inventive step and meets the requirements of Art.33(3)PCT.

- 5 The device disclosed in claim 1 is industrially manufacturable and therefore the claim meets the requirements of Art. 33(4)PCT.
- 6 Claims 2 to 11 refer to further embodiments of the device of claim 1 and in view of that meet the requirements of Art. 33(2), (3), and (4) PCT as well.

**Re Item VIII.**

- 7 Independent claim 1 is in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case is appropriate, with those features known in combination from the prior art (D5) being placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(i) PCT) and with the remaining features being included in the characterising part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT). In the present case, the following features are known in combination from the document D5 and belong in the preamble of such a claim:  
"An apparatus for treating pelvic organ prolapse, comprising a ring shaped main body sized and shaped to apply appropriate pressure on lateral vaginal walls for treating pelvic organ prolapse, *the ring shaped main body being flexible.*"
- 8 The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).